TOP SECRET



18 NATIONAL RECONNAISSANCE OFFICE WASHINGTON, D.C.

OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR

MAR 25 1969

Honorable Richard Helms Director of Central Intelligence Central Intelligence Agency Washington, D. C.

Dear Mr. Helms:

The Director of the Bureau of Budget sent you a memorandum dated March 22, 1969 (BYE 11663-69) addressing the HEXAGON issue. Inasmuch as an important consideration to the BOB view is an estimated "5 year savings" of \$905 million, I believe it appropriate to furnish the NRO cost assessment of the BOB mix options. This indicates that the savings, addressed in the same context as the BOB approach, would more likely be about \$285 to \$340 million. In specific relation to the FY 1970 budget, a reduction of \$78 million is indicated to be achievable, if HEXAGON were terminated as of April 1, 1969, with successively lower reductions if the program were terminated at later dates.

The NRO Comptroller assessment is reflected in the attachment. All costs anticipate that if CORONA were continued, there would be no improvements in the system, and there would be no provision for a 12" S/I camera program. If either or both assumptions are incorrect, any potential savings would be reduced significantly.

Sincerely,

John L. McLucas

Wirector

Attachment

cc: Mr. Robert Mayo, Director, BOB

BYEMAN

المتصورة والمتعارض

HEXAGON

CORGNA

TOD SCORT
LUBER FROM AUTOMATIC REGRADING
MRECHYS \$100 IN DOES BOLAPPLY

CONTROL NO 5572 12 529-69

COPY OF COPIES

PAGE OF PAGE

Approved for Release: 2020/02/07 C05114866

Approved for Release: 2020/02/07 C05114866

TOP SECRETANDLE VIA BYEMAN

BOB "Equal Performance Options" - HEXAGON (Enclosure "TAB C" to 22 March 69 Memo to DCI)

Comparison of BOB Estimates with Cost Facts

		BOB			NRO		
	Launches	Unit <u>Cost</u>	Annual Costs	Launches	Unit <u>Cost</u>	Annual Costs	
Mix Option 1: CORONA	7	\$ 14M	\$ 98M	6	\$ 15M	\$ 90M	
G-3	6	23M	138M	7	20.2M	142M	
Titan Impact		_	0			20M	
Total			236M			252M	

- Notes: 1. BQB identified this mix as "currently approved" for FY 1970. The current approval is 6 CORONA and 7 GAMBIT for FY 1970.
 - 2. The BGB costs exclude the impact on other Titan boosters, previously recognized in all cost tabulations.
 - 3. Both sets of figures exclude any improvements in CORONA, and make no provision for a 12" S/I Camera.

	AMAZON BARRAN AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND A	BOB		NRO		
	Launches	Unit Cost	Annual Costs	Launches	Unit <u>Cost</u>	Annual Costs
Mix Option 2: HEXAGON G-3 Total	5 5	\$ 45M 25M	\$225M 125M 350M	5 5	\$ 39.8M 24.5M	\$199M 123M 322M

Notes: 1. The BOB unit cost estimate for 5 HEXAGON used the same unit cost as for λ_{\bullet}

2. The BOB refers to an April 1968 USIB source for the number of launches. The Ex Com November 1968 decision approved 4 HEXAGON and 4 GAMBIT for FY 1973 and 1974. Accordingly, the BOB Option 2 reflects a higher number of launches than the Ex Com approval and the NRP 5 year program. If the 4 HEXAGON/4 GAMBIT basis were used, the costs would be:

HEXAGON	4	\$ 44.5M	\$178M
G-3 (A)	4	27.9M	112M

TOP SECRET HANDLE VIA BYEMAN CONTROL SYSTEM

TUP SECRET

HANDLE VIA FVERSAL

CONTROL SYSTEM

Mix Option 2 vs. Mix Option 1:

- 1. If the corrected Mix Option 1 is appropriately adjusted to the \$252M annual cost, and using the BOB 5 HEXAGON/5 GAMBIT Option 2 mix at appropriate costs, the difference would be \$70M annually, or \$350M for some 5 year period.
- 2. If, however, the Mix Option 1 at \$252M is compared to the official program of 4 HEXAGON/4 GAMBIT, the difference is \$38M annually, or \$190M for some 5 year period, in comparison with the BOB estimate of \$670M (incidentally overstated, as the BOB arithmetic works out to \$570M).

BOB "Note":

The BOB note states that "the CORONA/G-3 mix would probably produce an even greater savings due to the following factors:

	Additional 5-year <u>savings</u>
Surveillance requirements can be met with 4 G-3 missions per year in mix option #1	- 115
HEXAGON would probably require 5 missions rather than 4 in each of the first 2 years in mix option #2 as the system is maturing	 90
Additional HEXAGON development costs	<u>- 30</u> - 235

These three factors would produce a total cost differential of \$670M plus \$235M or \$905M over a 5-year period."

Addressing each of these BOB points in sequence:

If option 1, as corrected, were adjusted to 4 G-3 missions per year, (instead of 7) there would be a difference of \$30M per year, or \$150M for some 5-year period.

If HEXAGON were based on 5 missions rather than 4 in each of the first 2 years, the difference would be \$42M, not \$90M (again, the BOB overlooked the unit cost differences in their calculations). In any event, this is an unrealistic "savings," as the official program is 4 in each of these two years.

TOP SECRET HANDLE VIA BYEMAN CONTROL SYSTEM

The BOB estimate of \$30M for additional HEXAGON development costs is evidently based on a statement on page 3 that a "program slippage of 3 to 6 months will probably occur." There has been no request for, or change in, the objective October 1970 first launch date. Accordingly, this is a speculative added cost by BOB.

From a total 5 year "savings" standpoint, then, using an option of 6 CORONA and 4 GAMBIT versus 4 HEXAGON and 4 GAMBIT would "save" in some 5-year period about \$340M vs. the BOB estimate of \$905M. The term "some 5-year period" is used herein, because the 5-year period would start when a level-off recurring cost year were reached (estimated to be FY 1973 at the present time). For example, the current official planned launches by fiscal year are:

		CORONA	<u>HEXAGON</u>	GAMBIT
FY	1970	6	. ener	7
FΥ	1971	4	- 4 -	5
FY	1972	· ens	4	5
FY	1973	4040	4	4
FY	1974	vome.	4	4

To adjust to the BOB "program" of 6 (or 7) CORONA and 4 GAMBIT in the near-term would require a negation of the reasons for the Ex Com establishment of the current GAMBIT schedule. Incidentally, on Page 3, the BOB states "The CORONA mix will probably not require more than 6 CORONA's and 5 GAMBIT-3's," so there is a BOB inconsistency between page 3 and Tab C. If 6 CORONA and 5 GAMBIT's were planned per year, the "some, 5-year savings" would be about \$285M.

F.Y. 1970 Budget Considerations:

This should be a more pertinent consideration than "some 5-year savings." In February 1969, revised costs and "savings", if HEXAGON were terminated as of 1 March 1969 were furnished for the BOB/OSD discussion. Inasmuch as the program was not terminated by 1 March, and about \$20 million per month costs are being incurred at this time, the estimated \$98M budget reduction would now approximate \$78M against a 1 April termination date, \$58M against a 1 May termination date, etc.

This is emphasized, because other material from BOB on potential reductions in the FY 1970 budget indicate considerably higher "savings" for a HEXAGON termination.

HANDLE VIA BYEMAN

CONTROL SYSTEM

COPY_/_OF 5 COPIES'
PAGE 3 OF 3 PAGES

SAFSS Sept 12 62 9-69